

## **REMARKS**

After entry of this amendment, claim 89 will be pending in this application. Claims 78-88 have been cancelled. No new matter has been added. Previous claim 1 has been reintroduced as new claim 89.

The foregoing amendments are taken in the interest of expediting prosecution and there is no intention of surrendering any range of equivalents to which Applicant would otherwise be entitled in view of the prior art.

By amending the application, the Applicants do not concede that the patent coverage available to them would not extend as far as the original claim. Rather, Applicants reserve the right to file a continuation application to pursue the breadth of the claims as filed. Applicants believe that the Examiner has not made a sufficient showing of inherency of the teachings of the asserted prior art, especially given the lack of teachings in the cited references of the properties that Applicants have recited in their claims.

Further, by the present amendment, it does not follow that the amended claims have become so perfect in their description that no one could devise an equivalent. After amendment, as before, limitations in the ability to describe the present invention in language in the patent claims naturally prevent the Applicants from capturing every nuance of the invention or describing with complete precision the range of its novelty or every possible equivalent. See, Festo Corp. v. Shoketsu Kinzoku Kogyo Kabushiki Co., 62 USPQ2d 1705 (2002). Accordingly, the foregoing amendments are made specifically in the interest of expediting prosecution and there is no intention of surrendering any range of equivalents to which Applicants would otherwise be entitled.

### **Drawings**

Applicants have resubmitted the replacement sheet showing a correction to Fig. 1 and a new sheet showing new figure 11A.

### **Restriction Requirement**

Although Applicants continue to disagree with the Examiner on the restriction requirement, Applicants have resubmitted original claim 1, thus obviating the restriction requirement. This election is made without traverse.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

In view of Applicants' amendments and remarks, the Examiner's objections are believed to be rendered moot. Accordingly, Applicants submit that the present application is in condition for allowance and requests that the Examiner pass the case to issue at the earliest convenience. Should the Examiner have any question or wish to further discuss this application, Applicant requests that the Examiner contact the undersigned at (248) 292-2920.

If for some reason Applicants have not requested a sufficient extension and/or have not paid a sufficient fee for this response and/or for the extension necessary to

prevent the abandonment of this application, please consider this as a request for an extension for the required time period and/or authorization to charge Deposit Account No. 50-0496 for any fee which may be due.

Date: October 13, 2005



---

Christopher J. Voci  
Registration No. 45,184  
Dobrusin & Thennisch PC  
29 W. Lawrence Street, Suite 210  
Pontiac, MI 48342  
319-594-2200  
[cvoci@patentco.com](mailto:cvoci@patentco.com)  
Customer No. 46,845